

**Physiotherapists' Views of Implementing a Stratified Treatment Approach for Patients with Low Back Pain in Germany: A Qualitative Study**

Karstens et al.

**Additional file 2: Subthemes**

## Theme 100: Intervention Characteristics

| #     | Subtheme                   | CFIR   | Paraphrase                      | Quote   |
|-------|----------------------------|--------|---------------------------------|---|
| 101-1 | Chances of implementation  | I.IC_F | Re-thinking of therapists       | PT1-3 I think it takes a lot of getting used to, but I don't see a problem from a therapeutic point of view, but it will take time to get used to. Because physiotherapy up to now has been quite intuitive, it's how physiotherapists work, not simply straight from study data.   |
| 101-2 |                            | I.IC_F | Daily business                  | PT2-2 I think [treatment as described for the STarT-Approach] that's what we're doing day after day anyway.   |
| 102-1 | Matching patients          | I.IC_C | Systematic optimised therapy    | PT7-1 I think it's a good approach and a necessary approach. I think that the patients will benefit from it too, to provide them with an optimised therapy.   |
| 102-2 |                            | I.IC_C | New matched approach            | PT3-2 I think what's really fundamental is the differentiation in treatment. That chronic patients get a different treatment over time but also covering different contents. That's an important approach that currently probably doesn't happen in many practices or treatment centres.  |
| 103-1 | Benefits of classification | I.IC_C | Strength of objective subgroups | PT3-1 I think it's good, and I like it that these psychosocial factors are given some relevance, because I think that it can be critical for the patients. The pain can be really acute, but one patient copes with it quite easily, but then there are others for whom quite a low level of pain has really drastic effects, for example lower back pain, and that's an important point. |
| 103-2 |                            | I.IC_C | Increasing effectiveness        | PT8-1 In total I endorse the STarT-Back Tool, because low back pain, quite a big topic ... You can sub divide it in low back pain which is not that severe and in low back pain which is really bad. And therefore, being effective and also to reduce costs I think it's quite good to subgroup. That you can treat effectively-let me put it that way.                                  |

| #     | Subtheme                         | CFIR   | Paraphrase                    | Quote  |
|-------|----------------------------------|--------|-------------------------------|--|
| 104-1 | Implementation of classification | I.IC_D | Gut feeling vs classification | PT 1-3 I find it hard to pigeonhole patients like that. [...] but I don't see a problem from a therapeutic point of view, but it will take time to get used to, because physiotherapy up to now has been quite intuitive.  |
| 104-2 |                                  | I.IC_F | Application of subgrouping    | PT2-3 Because I do have a pigeonhole problem with patients, too. And I think that I peg patients way to early. But since I call it differently, it's not associated that negatively anymore. Because subgrouping sounds much better (laughter). But it's not that absolute ... has been demonstrated, not only in low back pain but also with other complaints, that's important to categorise patients, yes.                          |
| 105-1 | Structure STarT-Back Tool        | I.IC_G | Length of the tool            | PT4-1 Well, I think it's good and I think it's good that it's manageable and not too long. Even though there are only nine questions, it still manages to address many of the issues, for example I can find out how active the patient is, how much pain they are in, and even how much their everyday life is restricted. I think it's good.   |
| 105-2 |                                  | I.IC_G | Cover of sufficient details   | PT1-1 I think the way it's basically organised is good too, [...] I don't know whether perhaps you would need to identify what sort of patient you're dealing with based on the pain symptoms. Just classifying without necessarily taking state of mind into account I think is okay. But whether it's enough, or whether perhaps there ought to be more questions, or perhaps more precise questions about pain maybe, I don't know. |
| 106-1 | Consumption of time              | I.IC_F | High practicability           | PT2-3 Well, I think it is really positive is that there are only nine questions. Because there are other questionnaires that are significantly longer and therefore more difficult to evaluate. At first glance, and without having worked with it yet, this looks simple and practical. The fact that you get straight on the right track.  |
| 106-2 |                                  | I.IC_F | Shortening therapy            | PT1 -3 Maybe it reduces the treatment duration, as it clarifies in advance and covers really a lot. Possibilities [obstacles] what else could go on top.   |

| #     | Subtheme                        | CFIR   | Paraphrase                     | Quote   |
|-------|---------------------------------|--------|--------------------------------|---|
| 107-1 | Advantages of STarT-Back Tool   | I.IC_C | Facilitation of treatment      | PT3-3 What's really interesting about a questionnaire like this is that it means you've got a totally different starting point with the patient, when you give him or her something like this. And of course the patient gets a completely different focus, no more 'I'm going to get pulled around the whole time', to put it negatively, but instead a self-orientated approach looking after yourself, something we need more of nowadays. The fact that the patient is proactive and we can get away from never-ending treatment sessions, yes, I can really see how a questionnaire like this could work well. |
| 107-2 |                                 | I.IC_C | Covering undetected aspects    | PT1-1 [...] because with some patients things emerge after the second or third treatment which were never on the table to begin with, maybe because you forgot to ask certain questions when you were doing the case history. But will this questionnaire take over, this is what I wonder, will it take over [...] or is that going a bit too far?   |
| 107-3 |                                 | I.IC_C | Self-reflection of the patient | PT2-1 When I read this I got the impression, that the patient can reflect himself briefly, why he got here, or how he is dealing with his pain, and the importance of the pain for him. Because the questions are very specific and otherwise wouldn't be asked a lot and especially not from the medical doctor, that's quite often my impression. But thus, the patient is just a bit more aware, also due to the questionnaire, of the reason attending the clinic.  |
| 108-1 | Intensity assessment and advice | I.IC_F | Functional assessment          | PT7-2 And I don't know if I as a [...] physiotherapist would act like that. I would at least say: 'I see, you have leg pain, can you stand on that leg?' Well, I would like to get a bit more assurance. That's what I always do, getting a functional impression.  |

| #     | Subtheme             | CFIR   | Paraphrase               | Quote  |
|-------|----------------------|--------|--------------------------|--|
| 108-2 |                      | I.IC_F | In depth assessment      | <p>PT8-1 I don't know if I would be able to only do the 'assessment and education' thing, to tell them: 'now you have to join a sports club, do something, have a look at the exercises [they offer] there.' That's not sufficient for me. I need the entire thing, you have to assess and detect the reason for it, maybe, do this and this, but just saying this is the information you can get it out of the book and good bye.</p> <p>PT 6-1 At least some posture corrections, the basics you do as a physio.</p> <p>PT8-1 Yes, this is lacking.</p> <p>PT6-1 I'm missing that, too. If you only see him once for 30 minutes.</p> |
| 109-1 | Objectiveness        | I.IC_C | Unbiased assessment      | <p>PT1-3 Somehow you just get this from someone. You see a patient and then you reckon you know what's wrong. You just have to hold yourself back for a moment, if you're any good, then you think things over, leave it all open, and maybe it helps you, even if you get some real surprises when a patient ticks completely different answers to the ones you expected.</p>   |
| 109-2 |                      | I.IC_C | Increasing objectiveness | <p>PT2-3 As for the psycho-social side of things, you get a picture of what a patient is like when he comes in. And the more you work with them, the more you think you've got the picture of them, but of course that's all very subjective. This questionnaire helps people to be more objective about how they classify a patient. I mean I still try to think when I see a patient 'have they got more of a physiological or more of a psychological problem?', but some come in flagging something obvious or something. But to get away from that, I'd like to get away from the intuitive approach, to be more objective.</p>   |
| 110-1 | False classification | I.IC_G | Certain type of patients | <p>PT4-2 But I think every one of us knows this sort of nice patient who can still function but still suffers from something. If I look at these aspects: 'I worry often' and 'it's terrible and it's never going any better', these patients, I could imagine, could head into the wrong direction ... considering a certain type of person.</p>  |

| #     | Subtheme                                 | CFIR   | Paraphrase                     | Quote   |
|-------|--|--------|--------------------------------|---|
| 110-2 |  | I.IC_G | Trust in answers               | PT7-2 I think that a patient says 'I worry' or 'I do worry often' only if it's really severe. [Then] you know, that the patient feels like this, most patients have a feeling for themselves. But if they really tick yes, that's the criterion, indicating that they are really low-risk or even in medium risk.   |
| 111-1 | Timepoint of STarT-Back Tool application | I.IC_D | Prior to PT setting            | PT4-2 Ideally in future the patient should bring the completed questionnaire with them. I would like it like that. [...]<br>PT4-2 Yes, because then, when he comes along the first time and I see him, then I haven't got time to explain everything to him again.  |
| 111-2 |  | I.IC_D | Waiting area                   | PT3-2 The best way to organise things might be to give the patient this questionnaire in the waiting area beforehand so the he or she can fill it in for themselves, then they come on in with it already completed. You could even put it to one side to start with, do the normal case history as you usually do. Then look at the questionnaire to see what comes out and then plan the way forward accordingly. If you did that, then I think you wouldn't be in this mess with asking each of the questions one after the other. |
| 111-3 |  | I.IC_D | Interactive approach           | PT7-2 I ask, because of the questionnaire, is that asked personally in England, does it make a difference if someone is like reading it out quote unquote and asks?   |
| 112-1 | Treatment description                    | I.IC_G | Flexibility                    | PT2-3 But there's enough scope I think [for individual decisions] within the three branches.  |
| 112-2 |  | I.IC_G | Systematic procedure           | PT6-1 it's positive, in my opinion, too, that there is a systematic approach, having a kind of guideline. A starting point.   |
| 113-1 |  | I.IC_G | More specific details required | PT1-1 [...] the thing I miss a bit is the particularisations. There is an evidence based course of physiotherapy but I'm not quite sure about whether particular exercises or manual treatment techniques are to be used on patients.   |

| #     | Subtheme           | CFIR   | Paraphrase                    | Quote  |
|-------|--------------------|--------|-------------------------------|--|
| 113-2 | Standardisation    | I.IC_G | Manual therapy                | PT2-3 [...] what is manual therapy. Has that been set down or is it still to be set down? Or is it just the framework for individual intuition. There are people who define it as just soft tissue techniques or manual massage. We've read just now that massage shouldn't be done. And that's why something like this is important. How to I standardise, without narrowing them down too much, the various things.  |
| 113-3 |                    | I.IC_G | Neurological examination      | PT4-2 One thing I often ask myself is: is it planned to do a neurological investigation as standard, or are there criteria and if so which? Because why should I do a neurological investigation on a patient who has back pain. There is no indication given there to do. Differential diagnosis for a hip problem you have to ask yourself: 'do I have to rule out a problem with the hip for every patient and if I do, how?' Any suggestions?  |
| 114-1 | Treatment duration | I.IC_B | Less treatment required       | PT1-1 I don't understand why in the study they come up with the figure of six treatment sessions, because that's not many for us. We have twelve or eighteen or more. Let's assume something happens. Why was a measurable improvement in the level of pain achieved. But perhaps that can be traced back to the way the questions were put in the first place because with some patients it's really the case that things emerge after the second or third treatment session that simply weren't in the mix at the start. |
| 114-2 |                    | I.IC_B | Astonishment treatment amount | PT3-3 [...] if I would have such an improvement after four treatment sessions, not needing 18 and having no difference [in treatment outcome].   |

## Theme 200: Setting

| #     | Subtheme                    | CFIR     | Paraphrase                          | Quote  |
|-------|-----------------------------|----------|-------------------------------------|--|
| 201-1 | Chances of implementation   | III.IS_D | Re-thinking                         | PT2-1 I think that's a thrilling topic, and also necessary, but from the view of our own professional identity we really do something completely different.  |
| 201-2 |                             | III.IS_A | Already similar clientele           | PT7-1 I don't think that's something totally different from what we are currently doing, because we have patients with either low or high risk, or who already had become chronic.   |
| 202-1 | Remuneration                | II.OS_D  | Comparable tasks                    | PT6-1 If we're really honest about this, we're moving much more in the direction of becoming a sort of health coach. A Health Coach expects different things to what a physiotherapist does. [...] but it was 40 Euro an hour. It's meant to be 45 minutes,-so if I imagine I've got a practice, then for the business side of things a Health Coach costs a hell of a lot of money, so how would that work for the practice and what would you get out of it. |
| 202-2 |                             | II.OS_D  | Responsibility related remuneration | PT1-1 Perhaps the pay would be better then ... Because then you would have a lot more responsibility. PT6-1 yes, getting 14 euro and 10 cents you can't provide it. Especially not forty-five minutes.   |
| 202-3 |                             | II.OS_D  | Overall frustration                 | PT1-3 I think reimbursement is rather cause of frustration for all of us therapists [laughter], we are convinced that we don't get paid to an extent we think we are qualified [...]. With these tasks [described for the STarT-Approach], with these additional qualifications, physiotherapy is gaining more, dramatically more importance and thus deserves a higher reimbursement.   |
| 203-1 | Profitability outer setting | II.OS_D  | Lump-sum payment                    | PT7-2 [...] Just that it doesn't matter if you talk to him [the patient] or if you put him on a bicycle, in terms of the concept, it shouldn't matter. That has been my request for a while, however.  |

| #     | Subtheme                    | CFIR      | Paraphrase                         | Quote  |
|-------|-----------------------------|-----------|------------------------------------|--|
| 203-2 |                             | II.OS_D   | Business orientation               | PT7-2 I think this is interesting, whether it's possible to do this in practices that are business orientated and want particular margins, whether it's feasible.  |
| 204-1 |                             | III.IS_D1 | Impracticability of current system | PT4-3 you have to cheat to treat patients accurately.  |
| 204-2 | Profitability inner setting | III.IS_C  | Patient trust                      | PT2-2 I think word gets around that it's not just about getting as many referrals as possible and treating the same thing every week for years [that is positive]. Instead you say 'this is what it's about, now off you go to the sports club' or something like that.  |
| 204-3 |                             | III.IS_F  | More patients                      | PT2-3 Well, I wouldn't be afraid, as we've discussed before, that this model leads to seeing less patients. Because I think, if this gets established, I see more patients at the end. Thus if I can't see every single one that often, but I'm not afraid to see less patients. But I think, that a lot of PTs, even if they are self-employment or being afraid of, because such a long-term patient is a fixed [source of income].  |
| 205-1 | Current System              | III.IS_D1 | Frustration with current system    | PT3-3 I don't know whether it's because I'm more aware of it, but I do find that patients where psycho-social factors have an influence are clearly on the increase. Or perhaps I'm just more aware of them, and according to how important or critical these factors are, it's frustrating to treat them sometimes, because you don't have or choose the right approach. It could be patients coming on a repeat prescription to be treated and that can become stressful for both parties in the long run. That's why I think it's a good idea to think through the whole concept that we have in Germany, and re-examine it. [...] How it's carried out, the restrictions for doctors and suchlike. |

| #     | Subtheme                          | CFIR      | Paraphrase                         | Quote  |
|-------|-----------------------------------|-----------|------------------------------------|--|
| 205-2 |                                   | III.IS_D1 | Management demands                 | PT6-2 Yeah, I think so too, what you just said is really a dream, that you have a free choice; what really bothers me about the [referral process] are these constant interruptions. The other way would work better for the health insurances, wouldn't it? They would also save money, if you wouldn't rush through things in a flash, but say: 'let's make intervals [between treatment sessions] longer.                                       |
| 205-3 |                                   | III.IS_D1 | Downsize of physiotherapy          | PT3-3 [...] the more you, as a physiotherapist, address these psycho-social aspects, don't you risk rationalising yourself out of the picture?   |
| 206-1 | Professional policy outer setting | II.OS_D   | Framework requirements             | PT2-2 [...] the professional framework requirements have to be set, to be able to use the questionnaire and this stratification. Well, therefore to say, now he is part of that risk group and I have the freedom to say, that he gets just one session and of course you need training for that, that you are able to implement it.   |
| 206-2 |                                   | II.OS_A   | Interest of insurance companies    | PT3-1 I think the health insurance companies could be really interested in this being the first thing to give to the physiotherapists. Because it's all about how conditions becoming chronic and they're the people who have to pay for everything afterwards. The quicker they're in a position to say: 'OK, this case can be dealt with quickly but this other one needs more time', then the lower the costs they incur at the end of the day. |
| 207-1 | Manual therapy                    | III.IS_C  | Unprecise definition of PT content | PT2-2 No, but it's actually quite difficult when some asks me what is manual therapy. I am hard pushed to explain sometimes because I say: what's the difference and can you separate it in your mind – you can't can you? If someone is doing physiotherapy, and that's in your mind, you can't just say well today I'll just do that, the other thing hasn't been prescribed so we won't do it.  |
| 207-2 |                                   | III.IS_A  | Heterogeneity of manual therapy    | PT3-3 We do have manual therapy, and you can hardly compare the different styles [concepts], well, Kaltenborn, Maitland, [...].  |
| 208-1 | Interprofessional collaboration   | II.OS_B   | Interprofessional collaboration    | PT3-1 I don't know how it's done in England, whether each doctor sends a patient to this practice or a different one on a whim, or whether there are interdisciplinary   |

| #     | Subtheme  | CFIR    | Paraphrase                         | Quote  |
|-------|---|---------|------------------------------------|--|
|       |   |         |                                    | tie-ups with a particular physio who is at the same level that were talking about in this seminar. And then say: 'OK, I'll send this patient there and I'll get a call back at some stage and it's not a story that's been moulded to fit the prescription,' but you can honestly say 'I've classified the patient like this, should we proceed like this?' And he [physician] gives it the nod because he knows what I'll be doing next, like that. I don't think you should scatter this about so that everybody gets involved; better to work with an established partner.  |
| 208-2 |   | II.OS_B | Development of health care centres | PT2-2 Perhaps we need to develop centres where you say 'OK there's a place there where patients with back pain can go' and you work according to this concept and a whole lot of things are on offer. I could see that would work.   |
| 209-1 | Collaboration with GP                             | II.OS_B | Facilitating GP relationship       | PT2-3 Well, I could see that the questionnaire could bridge the collaboration gap to the doctor. That a patient is actually referred to us at an earlier stage, that the Physio is rather seen as a specialist. I think that's a good opportunity - exactly.   |
| 209-2 |   | II.OS_B | Shared decision making             | PT4-2 I think one prerequisite has to be that the doctor is on the same page and is thinking along the same lines [...] I could imagine setting aside blocks of 2 or 3 hours doing one of these after another and then deciding in consultation with the doctor what we're going to do. The question remains if I as a therapist have to decide that on my own, or wouldn't it be better to decide that as a team, eventually even with psychologists from the beginning. And, in my opinion, I would strongly prefer to do it rather together in a team as doing it on my own with sole responsibility. Because the patients often just don't need only us. |
| 210-1 | Implementation of interprofessional collaboration | II.OS_C | Importance                         | PT3-2 I think the interdisciplinary thing will become more and more important. It's just difficult putting it into practice in the current set-up.   |
| 210-2 |   | II.OS_C | PT referral conflict               | PT6-1 It's just, the question remains if the doctor is interested in it, if you think of all the individual self-pay offerings [in physician clinics] and if you ask the patients what they had already tried before they end up with us. Then they did whatever   |

| #     | Subtheme      | CFIR      | Paraphrase                   | Quote   |
|-------|---------------|-----------|------------------------------|---|
|       |               |           |                              | kind of therapies for a lot of self-paid money, before a doctor has the idea of referring to physiotherapy, which is paid by the insurance company.   |
| 211-1 | First contact | II.OS_C   | Autonomy                     | PT1-2 But, I think that, would it be just <b>the</b> opportunity, that not the doctor has to decide what the physiotherapist has to do.   |
| 211-2 |               | II.OS_D   | Referral after first contact | PT7-1 But just looking at this again whether this is do-able with first contact for the physio, which I would really welcome. I can imagine this system of referral both ways. Whether I refer the patient after assessing the info in the questionnaire or whether the doctor does it and refers the patient to me it doesn't really matter<br>PT4-1 All the same it would be good for both to know him, it's an advantage, then giving feedback is simpler, if I say 'I've done this or that', or whether the doctor says 'I've done this or that', when the patient comes along. For this reason it's best if there is an interdisciplinary cooperation. |
| 212-1 | Role of PT    | III.IS_D4 | Involved in diagnosis        | PT3-2 I think the difference is that with a questionnaire or screening like this we the physiotherapists move into the diagnostic process instead of being involved only in the therapeutic process. And because of this we have a greater responsibility to the patient.   |
| 212-2 |               | III.IS_D4 | Responsibility               | PT21-2 So put more responsibility in the hands of the physiotherapist instead of just 'here's the referral: six times 20 minutes of this'. If I'm lucky, the doctor's diagnosis will be on there, and it sets out what I have to do, but with this I have the chance when the patient comes to me to assess for myself, I've got a number of treatment approaches to choose from, and make my assessment of the patient based on evidence that I now have, so if I have the chance to make more decisions for myself, then I'm all for it.  |
| 212-3 |               | III.IS_D4 | Freedom of treatment scope   | PT1-1 If it is managed by the physiotherapist, because I really see these patients who don't need six sessions every so often. They only need one or two sessions, or most times, it is already fine when they come and see me. Yes, then it would  |

| #     | Subtheme                                | CFIR    | Paraphrase                           | Quote  |
|-------|---|---------|--------------------------------------|--|
|       |   |         |                                      | be ok, if I can decide it or, I don't mind, after consulting the doctor. But then really have the freedom of decision making, that would be ingenious.   |
| 213-1 | Competences perceived by others         | II.OS_C | PT decision making                   | PT6-1 But then along come the doctors, I can imagine, and say: 'can a physiotherapist make a decision on that?' Or is it enough again to assess by using the questionnaire [...].  |
| 213-2 |   | II.OS_C | Lack of trust of physicians          | PT7-1 [...] and our everyday reality is that there is little confidence in our capabilities [...] and in my opinion, this approach enhances our own confidence as well as the physicians trust in us, that the patients receive appropriate physiotherapy treatment.   |
| 214-1 | Role of PT within the healthcare system | II.OS_C | Advertisement with STarT             | PT1-3 If the questionnaire really is so good, works so good, that would indeed be a first flagship. Meaning, that in medicine or even politics they would say: 'look how important physiotherapy is!' By taking on additional tasks and getting extra qualifications physiotherapy takes on a much more important role, becomes much more important and so earns a right to be better remunerated. |
| 214-2 |   | II.OS_D | Anticipated appreciation by patients | PT3-1 [...] but if the patient's ideas and expectations belong to evidence-based medicine then the patient should be a part of the decision, whether the physiotherapist is not a part of the process, or if that's even important to him. I would expect that for most patients the physiotherapist does play a part.   |
| 215-1 | Patients' self-commitment               | II.OS_A | Intrinsic motivation                 | PT5-1 I mean it depends on the patient. If he is really motivated and wants to recover quickly, then things will go faster [...] but you have to call it what it is, for those people who enjoy being given a sick note and spending a week or two at home [...]. You have to call it what it is, there are people who like it.  |
| 215-2 |   | II.OS_A | Passive patient expectations         | PT7-2 Because it's like that, some patients do have different expectations: 'she has to fix it!' Taking into account that someone with a high risk could therefore have a different expectation.   |

| #     | Subtheme                                   | CFIR    | Paraphrase   | Quote   |
|-------|--|---------|--|---|
| 216-1 | Patients' views on treatment scope         | II.OS_A | Claim for total amount                             | PT2-1 And of course the way referrals are given will have to be different. If you've got these six treatment sessions for say 15-20 minutes, and you have a patient who arrives with the idea that he has a right to get three referrals – three times six treatments then we'll be stuck in the middle thinking 'actually you don't need 18 treatment sessions'. |
| 217-1 | Patients' rethinking                       | II.OS_A | Astonishment                                       | PT7-2 Because I'm wondering how I find that and I have patients, who would be really astonished, if I would just ask: 'show me how you elicit the pain or when it's the most severe?'   |
| 217-2 |  | II.OS_A | Readjustment                                       | PT2-2-There needs to be a change of attitude, I think, not only with the doctors, but also those who come to see them. I think it's a totally different approach and no one is used to it so far. It could be met with amazement to start with." PT7-2 [roleplaying] 'She didn't even let me get undressed [laughs]. She just asked me things.'                   |
| 218-1 | Patients' expectation on passive treatment | II.OS_A | Expectation massage                                | PT1-1 But perhaps it's what patients expect, I mean lots of patients come along expecting massage, don't they? And if I just give information and what I've found [during assessment] then perhaps the patients are going to think: 'you haven't really helped me here at all.'   |
| 218-2 |  | II.OS_A | Already active patients                            | PT2-2 Or by all means some people who say: 'yes, yes I do really a lot in the gym, however, but please give me a massage.' And you just think: 'Why - and now?'   |
| 219-1 | Patients' reaction to classification       | II.OS_C | Loss of patient to other health care practitioners | PT2-2 But we have to look at this, when we've put them in the wrong category and they go off and look for something [other treatment] else.   |
| 219-2 |  | II.OS_A | Against patient expectations                       | PT6-1 If I was a patient, perhaps I would expect or want at least that the physiotherapist immediately shows me something. Even though I consider that not as bad, the patient might see it totally different. He might have a total different expectation of his complaints compared to the outcome of the questionnaire.  |

| #     | Subtheme               | CFIR     | Paraphrase                             | Quote  |
|-------|------------------------|----------|--|--|
| 220-1 | Misinformation         | II.OS_A  | GP influences expectations             | PT2-2 [...] the GP told them [the patients]: 'yes, then the therapist will pull you apart.' So! That makes me thinking they kind of fuel their expectations, that make you think, well do I think that makes sense? What next? Then you have to start all over again with education and advice.  |
| 220-2 |                        | II.OS_A  | Biomechanical diagnosis                | PT7-2 True, sometimes they say that they have a locked SIJ and it's obviously written that you have to correct it. If that's what they really need or not that's another story. Maybe it's not locked though, but that's simply the ... Well the patients were lead with certain expectations about the following [treatment] content.   |
| 220-3 |                        | II.OS_A  | Internet                               | PT2-2 Perhaps, maybe with some [patients], doctors fuel the expectations or they [the patients] get misinformation on the internet [...].  |
| 221-1 | Patients' status       | II.OS_A  | Ending therapy                         | PT2-2 Basically we are getting to a certain point when we have to realise that [the patients] shouldn't see a physio anymore, rather sending them to a back pain prevention programme or a back exercise group.  |
| 221-2 |                        | II.OS_A  | Acute versus chronic first             | PT4-2 The acute case has probably got a chance if you read only the literature that says they get better on their own [laughter amongst the group] [...] and the other one runs the risk of getting worse if he has to wait 19 days for an appointment. You have to teach your team to take the chronic case first, which of course doesn't happen in everyday practice because the other one is in pain.              |
| 222-1 | Requirements for staff | III.IS_A | Problem due to low qualification level | PT4-2 We've already used this questionnaire in our Practice. We did it three years ago, [...] and a massive problem was that colleagues were at different levels as regards how to deal with the information that came out of it and so we put it aside because the colleagues who were now working in the area of chronification didn't have the means to get any benefit out of it for their dealings with patients. |

| #     | Subtheme                   | CFIR      | Paraphrase        | Quote  |
|-------|----------------------------|-----------|-------------------|--|
| 222-2 |                            | III.IS_A  | Congruent team    | PT7-2 It's only a feeling, but I think it would be easier if this was part of an agreed procedure for the whole Practice. That would mean that all the patients would be processed like this. If you had colleagues who weren't assessing patients like this, then they would, how can I say it, come under a sort of peer group pressure.   |
| 222-3 |                            | III.IS_A  | In-house exchange | PT3-3 I think that in England you've got this thing with Juniors and Seniors so that in effect you have more opportunities as a beginner in your profession to exchange with older colleagues and such and I see a potential for that in these groups. As a Junior you can look after the low risk cases and then later on becoming a Senior you can work with the high risk cases. Perhaps a structure like that would be desirable.  |
| 223-1 | Organisation in clinic     | III.IS_E2 | Media room        | PT2-3 More pragmatically considered, that shouldn't be a problem anymore. That if you open a laptop and play a video, well. But from an economic point of view, it's harder to find a place. I can't treat other patients at the same time. Or should he take the laptop in the bathroom or what else? In the waiting area he might disturb others, or isn't focussed on it. But that's it, we are back at the remuneration yes. Do you have a separate room, are you sitting next to him, do I have to sit next to him, if he doesn't understand parts of it or something like that. Is he allowed to ask questions afterwards? |
| 223-2 |                            | III.IS_E2 | Privacy           | PT3-3 Who has got these sort of facilities [needed for the STarT-Approach]? Who's got a room with a door? And not a room with three benches and a curtain [in between], for example.   |
| 223-3 |                            | III.IS_E2 | Individual rooms  | PT2-2 If you go down this route, then it could be that a physiotherapist will need his own room. And has the right equipment available there. Then it won't happen, that all the rooms are occupied. You could manage that every therapist has his room.   |
| 224-1 | Allocation of competencies | III.IS_D2 | Within the clinic | PT1-3 Even not every physio has to be a specialist of some sort, I think that's clear. Perhaps it would be sufficient when in your centre there would be one or  |

| #     | Subtheme | CFIR    | Paraphrase                      | Quote  |
|-------|----------|---------|---------------------------------|--|
|       |          |         |                                 | two experts who do the first session and then, depending on the risk status, pass the patient on a junior therapist according to the therapeutic approach, recurrence rate.  |
| 224-2 |          | II.OS_C | Covering all treatment pathways | PT3-3 Well. But one day, when it's established, do I give the questionnaire to the patient and then fill it together with him. Do I have to be able to do all of it? Or do I refer him to the next clinic? Over there, there are the high risks ones, that's not feasible, though. |

### Theme 300: Characteristics of Individuals

| #     | Subtheme                                       | CFIR     | Paraphrase                                     | Quote   |
|-------|--|----------|--|---|
| 301-1 | Role of physiotherapist                        | IV.Col_B | Coordinator                                    | PT3-3 So I could see myself in the role of somebody pointing the way forward, a sort of coordinator like care-planning in the hospital is done.   |
| 301-2 |  | IV.Col_B | Referring                                      | PT1-1 Yes, if a physiotherapist would guide this [treatment allocation], maybe this wouldn't be too bad.  |
| 302-1 | Competencies in management of complex patients | IV.Col_B | Preference interdisciplinary team              | PT5-1 I think that with low or medium risk it's perfectly possible to manage on your own. But if you have high risk patients, I think I would prefer to be part of an interdisciplinary team which I could swap ideas with.                       |
| 302-2 |  | IV.Col_E | Confidence dealing with psychosocial aspects   | PT6-2 [...] and I think we are actually already trained. To take into account this aspect with the high risk this psychosocial aspect. I see this again and again that it's more useful to spend 20 minutes talking and not doing manual therapy. |
| 303-1 | Work experience                                | IV.Col_E | Treatment routine                              | PT1-3 I see things differently, in that they [physiotherapists] get into a rut over the years, and they treat not according to what they find but to standard cases and believe that they know what the patient has.                              |
| 303-2 |  | IV.Col_E | Post-training qualification                    | PT4-3 Yes, and learning it over the years. You are not a good therapist after finishing training, actually experience only comes over the years.  |
| 304-1 | Addressing psychosocial aspects                | IV.Col_E | Need for work experience                       | PT4-3 I think, yes, then it is exactly right to work on psychosocial aspects, too. That's what you only learn working with the patient, you only learn by experience.   |
| 304-2 |  | IV.Col_E | Difficulties learning communication strategies | PT3-2 But it's definitely true that it's something that not everybody can do [skilled communication] and it's hard to learn it on a theoretical basis. I think you'll need supervision on top, [...].   |

| #     | Subtheme               | CFIR     | Paraphrase                                  | Quote  |
|-------|------------------------|----------|---|--|
| 305-1 | Commitment             | IV.Col_E | Need for idealism                           | PT1-3 Well the Physiotherapist in Germany who brings a certain idealism to the table has developed the basic qualification necessary. Because he doesn't get enough of that from school. The person [physiotherapist] who is interested will have taken the trouble to get educated to a higher level and got better qualified. And made sure he is better informed which in turn means taking on a lot of expense on his own.               |
| 305-2 |                        | IV.Col_D | Commitment                                  | PT1-3 I think that's right as well. Too few physiotherapists who are reasonably committed. When I say committed, I mean the ones who regularly upgrade their skills and aim at offering better therapy. Or who aren't trying to make themselves look good.   |
| 306-1 | Academic qualification | IV.Col_E | Not part of past vocational school training | PT2-2 Okay, during my academic training we addressed this topic. But before, it was not such a big deal: communication, education, ... but when I look back to my vocational training there was none of it.  |
| 306-2 |                        | IV.Col_E | Benefit of academic education               | PT4-3 When we are talking about vocational training. And I've just visited two, it's all technique, technique, technique. Now there are academic programmes in addition, 40 or 50 of them [at universities], which have more of that stuff included: clinical reasoning and all this stuff. There are vocational schools which still don't teach evidence-based working. Yes, I guess in this respect an academic graduate has an advantage. |
| 307-1 | Self-efficacy          | IV.Col_B | Above average skills                        | PT1-3 But this, yes the tests, restricting its implementation more and more. There are really high requirements to meet to really use the questionnaire as well as realising the concept as a therapist. Well, the run-of-the-mill physiotherapist might be out of his depth, mightn't he? Even on a medium level risk, [...] the average physio can't do differential diagnosis, can he?  |
| 307-2 |                        | IV.Col_B | Qualification thru training sufficient      | PT7-1 I think it's not so different from what we currently do, [...] and to answer the question under what circumstances I could imagine it: certainly a training like that [described for the STarT-Approach] would be appropriate.   |

| #     | Subtheme                         | CFIR     | Paraphrase                          | Quote   |
|-------|----------------------------------|----------|-------------------------------------|---|
| 308-1 | Acceptance by novices            | IV.Col_E | Assisting detection yellow-flags    | PT4-2 [...] - being an experienced therapist - I shouldn't need to use the questionnaire to screen for the yellow flags. Whereas perhaps someone new to the job might prefer to do this using the questionnaire, because he can spot it better that way at the end of the day.  |
| 308-2 |                                  | IV.Col_E | Guiding novices                     | PT2-2 I think it is a good way of doing it. Thinking about myself being a novice, it really helps me if I could give it to the patient and see to which group he fits. Do I have to pay more attention to that, or is it someone with very little, what means little pain but, who is a low risk one, then as a novice I think it's really convenient and in general speaking, I like the concept.  |
| 309-1 | Training of psychosocial aspects | IV.Col_E | Post-graduation training preference | PT2-2 To address the patients' needs, empathy and ..., guiding them without imposing your own goals. That you really try to develop the goal collaboratively with the patient. And I think in this respect communication is a huge topic for physios. Because, usually you do manual therapy, neurological post-graduate training and there is little approval, simply put you don't get continuing education credit-points [by health insurances]. |
| 309-2 |                                  | IV.Col_B | Necessity for training courses      | PT3-3 The basic assessment [described for the STarT-Approach], well, reflecting my vocational training, I wouldn't have seen myself qualified to do this. That only came later. I don't know what it's like nowadays. I hope it improved, specifically neurological examination, to differentiate and so forth.   |